



# Sustainable Supply Chain Management, Green Operations, and Circular Economy Practices: A Theoretical Framework for Organizational Sustainability

Dr. Marimuthu, KN<sup>\*</sup> and Dr. K. Rajamannar<sup>\*\*</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Management Studies, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli-627 012, Tamil Nadu-India. ORCID: 0000-0002-5543-2877 Corresponding Author: marimuthumsu@msuniv.ac.in

<sup>\*\*</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli-627 012, Tamil Nadu-India.

## Abstract

Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM), green operations, and circular economy (CE) practices have become fundamental pillars of modern organizational sustainability. Escalating environmental concerns, resource scarcity, climate change, and increasing stakeholder expectations have compelled organizations to transition from traditional linear business models to sustainable and regenerative operational systems. This theoretical study synthesizes contemporary literature to develop an integrated framework explaining the interrelationships among sustainable supply chain management, green operations, and circular economy practices. Drawing upon the Natural Resource-Based View (NRBV), Stakeholder Theory [1], Institutional Theory, Triple Bottom Line (TBL), and Circular Economy Theory [2], the study proposes a comprehensive conceptual model illustrating how sustainable procurement, green manufacturing, reverse logistics, resource efficiency, eco-innovation, and circular resource flows collectively contribute to environmental, economic, and social sustainability. The paper further identifies research gaps and develops a conceptual foundation for future empirical investigations. The proposed framework provides strategic insights for managers, policymakers, and researchers seeking to improve organizational resilience and long-term sustainable competitiveness.

**Keywords:** Sustainable procurement; reverse logistics; eco-innovation; resource efficiency; organizational resilience

## 1. Introduction

The increasing frequency of climate-related disruptions, resource depletion, environmental regulations, and stakeholder expectations has transformed sustainability from a voluntary corporate initiative into a strategic necessity. Organizations are no longer evaluated solely on financial performance but also on their environmental stewardship, responsible resource utilization, and social accountability. Consequently, Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM) has emerged as a multidisciplinary approach integrating environmental, economic, and social objectives throughout the supply chain [3].

Traditional supply chains primarily emphasize operational efficiency and cost minimization. However, globalization, increasing carbon emissions, waste generation, and resource scarcity have exposed the limitations of linear production systems. Contemporary organizations increasingly adopt circular economy principles that prioritize resource recovery, recycling, remanufacturing, reuse, and regenerative production



systems [4]. These approaches minimize waste generation while simultaneously improving operational efficiency and organizational resilience.

Green operations complement SSCM by integrating environmentally responsible practices into procurement, manufacturing, logistics, warehousing, packaging, transportation, and product life-cycle management. Together, SSCM, green operations, and circular economy principles create synergistic benefits that enhance competitiveness while supporting the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly SDGs 9, 12, and 13 [5].

Despite the rapid expansion of sustainability research, the existing literature often examines SSCM, green operations, and circular economy practices independently. Comprehensive theoretical frameworks integrating these three domains remain comparatively limited. This paper addresses this gap by proposing an integrated conceptual framework grounded in established organizational and sustainability theories.

### Objectives

1. To review the theoretical foundations of SSCM, green operations, and circular economy.
2. To develop an integrated conceptual framework for organizational sustainability.
3. To identify research gaps and future research opportunities.
4. To provide managerial and policy implications for sustainable industrial development.

## 2. Literature Review

### 2.1. Sustainable Supply Chain Management

Sustainable Supply Chain Management refers to the systematic integration of environmental, social, and economic objectives into supply chain planning, procurement, production, logistics, distribution, and end-of-life product management. Unlike conventional supply chain management, SSCM seeks to balance operational performance with ecological preservation and social responsibility [6].

The literature identifies sustainable procurement, supplier collaboration, green purchasing, ethical sourcing, eco-design, sustainable manufacturing, and reverse logistics as the primary components of SSCM. Organizations implementing these practices improve operational resilience, reduce environmental impacts, and strengthen stakeholder trust [7].

Researchers also emphasize that digital technologies including artificial intelligence, blockchain, the Internet of Things (IoT), and big data analytics are accelerating sustainable supply chain transformation by improving transparency, traceability, and decision-making.

### 2.2. Green Operations

Green operations involve integrating environmentally responsible practices into organizational processes to minimize pollution, conserve natural resources, improve energy efficiency, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Green operational strategies encompass sustainable manufacturing, green logistics, renewable energy adoption, environmentally friendly packaging, energy-efficient warehousing, and cleaner production technologies [8].

Organizations adopting green operations frequently report improvements in resource utilization, operational efficiency, customer satisfaction, and corporate reputation. Furthermore, green operational capabilities

enhance organizational resilience by reducing dependence on scarce resources and mitigating environmental risks [9].

Recent studies also indicate that Industry 4.0 technologies including smart manufacturing, digital twins, automation, and predictive analytics substantially improve green operational performance through optimized resource allocation and reduced operational waste.

### **2.3. Circular Economy Practices**

The Circular Economy (CE) represents a transformative economic model that replaces the traditional "take-make-dispose" approach with regenerative production systems emphasizing reuse, repair, remanufacturing, refurbishment, recycling, and resource recovery [10].

The circular economy seeks to maintain the highest possible utility and value of products, components, and materials throughout their life cycle. Consequently, organizations adopting circular business models experience reduced material consumption, lower waste generation, improved environmental performance, and enhanced economic competitiveness [12].

Circular economy implementation is increasingly supported by digital technologies, eco-design principles, product-service systems, industrial symbiosis, and sustainable innovation ecosystems.

### **2.4. Integration of SSCM, Green Operations, and Circular Economy**

The convergence of SSCM, green operations, and circular economy practices creates an integrated sustainability ecosystem that enables organizations to achieve environmental stewardship while maintaining long-term competitiveness. Sustainable procurement facilitates responsible sourcing; green operations reduce operational environmental impacts; and circular economy practices extend product life cycles and maximize resource utilization [12].

Digital transformation further strengthens this integration through blockchain-enabled supply chain transparency, artificial intelligence-driven optimization, IoT-based monitoring, and predictive maintenance systems. Together, these capabilities improve operational resilience, stakeholder satisfaction, and sustainable organizational performance [13].

## **3. Theoretical Foundations**

This study is anchored in multiple complementary theories that collectively explain how Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM), Green Operations, and Circular Economy (CE) practices contribute to organizational sustainability. The integration of these theories provides a comprehensive conceptual lens for understanding sustainable value creation, environmental stewardship, stakeholder engagement, and long-term competitive advantage.

### **3.1. Natural Resource-Based View (NRBV)**

The Natural Resource-Based View (NRBV), proposed by Hart (1995), extends the traditional Resource-Based View by emphasizing that environmental capabilities are strategic organizational resources capable of generating sustainable competitive advantage. According to NRBV, organizations that effectively manage natural resources through pollution prevention, product stewardship, and sustainable development achieve superior operational and financial performance [14].

Within SSCM, NRBV explains how green procurement, eco-design, cleaner production, renewable energy adoption, and reverse logistics become strategic capabilities that enhance organizational resilience. Green

operational practices reduce resource consumption, improve energy efficiency, minimize waste generation, and strengthen long-term competitiveness.

The circular economy complements NRBV by promoting closed-loop material flows, resource regeneration, and sustainable resource utilization. Organizations implementing these principles not only reduce environmental risks but also improve innovation capability and long-term organizational sustainability [15].

### **Theoretical Contribution**

NRBV provides the fundamental theoretical basis for integrating environmental sustainability into supply chain strategy while demonstrating that environmental responsibility can simultaneously generate competitive advantage.

### **3.2. Triple Bottom Line Theory (TBL)**

The Triple Bottom Line (TBL) framework, introduced by Elkington (1997), argues that organizational success should be evaluated through three interconnected dimensions:

- Economic Sustainability (Profit)
- Environmental Sustainability (Planet)
- Social Sustainability (People)

Traditional performance measurement emphasizes profitability alone, whereas TBL broadens organizational accountability by incorporating environmental protection and social responsibility into strategic decision-making [16].

Within SSCM, the economic dimension focuses on operational efficiency, innovation, and competitiveness. The environmental dimension addresses carbon reduction, waste minimization, renewable energy adoption, and resource conservation. The social dimension emphasizes employee welfare, supplier responsibility, ethical sourcing, community development, and consumer well-being [17].

Green operations and circular economy practices support all three dimensions simultaneously by reducing production costs, minimizing ecological impacts, and creating long-term societal value.

### **Theoretical Contribution**

TBL provides the sustainability performance framework through which organizations can evaluate the effectiveness of integrated supply chain strategies.

### **3.3. Stakeholder Theory**

Stakeholder Theory proposes that organizations create sustainable value by balancing the interests of multiple stakeholder groups rather than focusing exclusively on shareholder wealth. These stakeholders include customers, employees, suppliers, governments, investors, local communities, and environmental organizations [18].

Sustainable supply chain initiatives increasingly require collaborative relationships among suppliers, manufacturers, logistics providers, governments, and consumers. Environmental regulations, ethical sourcing requirements, and growing consumer awareness encourage organizations to integrate sustainability throughout their supply networks [19].

Green procurement, supplier environmental certification, transparent reporting, and sustainable logistics strengthen stakeholder confidence while improving organizational legitimacy.

Circular economy implementation further enhances stakeholder engagement by encouraging collaborative recycling systems, industrial symbiosis, extended producer responsibility, and responsible consumption.

### **Theoretical Contribution**

Stakeholder Theory explains why sustainability initiatives improve organizational legitimacy, stakeholder trust, and long-term business resilience.

### **3.4. Institutional Theory**

Institutional Theory explains how organizational behaviour is influenced by regulatory requirements, industry norms, professional standards, and societal expectations. Organizations adopt sustainable practices not only because of economic benefits but also to gain legitimacy within their institutional environment [20].

Governments worldwide increasingly promote environmental regulations, carbon reduction targets, circular economy policies, and sustainable procurement standards. These institutional pressures encourage firms to adopt cleaner technologies, environmentally responsible operations, and circular business models [21].

International frameworks such as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), the Paris Agreement, and ESG reporting standards further reinforce sustainable operational transformation across industries.

Institutional pressures can be categorized into:

- **Coercive pressures** (laws and regulations)
- **Normative pressures** (professional standards)
- **Mimetic pressures** (imitation of industry leaders)

These mechanisms collectively accelerate the diffusion of SSCM and CE practices.

### **Theoretical Contribution**

Institutional Theory explains why sustainability practices become industry norms and how external pressures drive organizational transformation.

### **3.5. Circular Economy Theory**

Circular Economy Theory advocates a regenerative economic system in which products, materials, and resources remain in productive use for as long as possible. It replaces the conventional linear model ("take-make-dispose") with restorative processes that prioritize reuse, repair, remanufacturing, refurbishment, and recycling [22].

Within organizational sustainability, circular economy practices reduce dependence on virgin raw materials, lower waste generation, improve resource productivity, and encourage innovative product and service designs. Circular systems also support industrial symbiosis, where the waste generated by one organization becomes an input resource for another [23].

When integrated with SSCM and green operations, circular economy principles contribute to reduced environmental impacts, improved operational efficiency, and enhanced resilience against resource scarcity.

### **Theoretical Contribution**

Circular Economy Theory provides the conceptual foundation for designing sustainable production and consumption systems that promote long-term environmental and economic sustainability.

#### 4. Conceptual Integration of Theories

The five theories collectively explain organizational sustainability from complementary perspectives:

| Theory                      | Contribution to the Study                                                       |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Natural Resource-Based View | Environmental capabilities create sustainable competitive advantage.            |
| Triple Bottom Line          | Balances economic, environmental, and social performance.                       |
| Stakeholder Theory          | Sustainable value is created by addressing stakeholder expectations.            |
| Institutional Theory        | External regulations and norms drive sustainability adoption.                   |
| Circular Economy Theory     | Resource regeneration and closed-loop systems enhance long-term sustainability. |

Together, these theories support a holistic understanding of how sustainable supply chain management, green operations, and circular economy practices reinforce each other to improve organizational resilience, innovation, and sustainable performance [24, 25].

#### 5. Research Gap

Although SSCM, green operations, and circular economy have been extensively investigated individually, the literature reveals several unresolved gaps. First, many studies examine these constructs in isolation, resulting in fragmented theoretical explanations of organizational sustainability [26]. Second, limited attention has been given to integrating the Natural Resource-Based View, Triple Bottom Line, Stakeholder Theory, Institutional Theory, and Circular Economy Theory into a unified conceptual framework [27]. Third, most existing studies emphasize manufacturing contexts, with less consideration of service industries and cross-sectoral applications. Finally, there remains a need for conceptual models that can guide future empirical testing and support strategic decision-making in organizations pursuing sustainability transitions.

#### 6. Proposed Conceptual Framework

Building upon the Natural Resource-Based View (NRBV), Triple Bottom Line (TBL), Stakeholder Theory, Institutional Theory, and Circular Economy Theory, this study proposes an integrated conceptual framework explaining the relationships among Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM), Green Operations, Circular Economy (CE) practices, and Organizational Sustainability [28].

The framework posits that sustainable procurement, green manufacturing, supplier collaboration, green logistics, reverse logistics, eco-design, renewable energy adoption, and circular resource management function as strategic organizational capabilities. These capabilities enhance operational efficiency, environmental stewardship, innovation, stakeholder satisfaction, and long-term competitiveness. Organizational sustainability is viewed as a multidimensional outcome encompassing economic, environmental, and social performance [29].

The framework also recognizes that institutional pressures, stakeholder expectations, and organizational resources shape the adoption and effectiveness of SSCM and CE initiatives. Consequently, sustainable operational practices become strategic mechanisms through which organizations simultaneously create business value and contribute to sustainable development.

Figure 1. Proposed Conceptual Framework



## 7. Conceptual Propositions

Since this study is theoretical, conceptual propositions are formulated instead of statistical hypotheses to guide future empirical research.

### Proposition 1 (P1)

Organizations implementing comprehensive Sustainable Supply Chain Management practices are more likely to achieve higher organizational sustainability than organizations relying on conventional supply chain practices [30].

### Supporting rationale

Sustainable procurement, supplier collaboration, ethical sourcing, and environmentally responsible logistics improve resource efficiency while reducing operational risks.

### Proposition 2 (P2)

Green operational practices positively influence environmental performance through improved resource efficiency, cleaner production technologies, and reduced carbon emissions [31].

Green manufacturing minimizes waste generation while increasing operational productivity and environmental compliance.

#### **Proposition 3 (P3)**

Circular economy practices strengthen organizational sustainability by extending product life cycles and maximizing resource utilization [32].

Organizations adopting recycling, remanufacturing, repair, refurbishment, and industrial symbiosis experience lower environmental impacts and improved economic performance [33].

#### **Proposition 4 (P4)**

Digital technologies moderate the relationship between sustainable supply chain management and organizational sustainability [34].

Artificial intelligence, blockchain, Internet of Things (IoT), cloud computing, and predictive analytics improve supply chain visibility, transparency, operational efficiency, and sustainability monitoring [35].

#### **Proposition 5 (P5)**

Stakeholder engagement positively influences the successful implementation of circular economy initiatives [36].

Organizations collaborating effectively with suppliers, customers, governments, regulators, and communities are more likely to achieve sustainable operational transformation [37].

#### **Proposition 6 (P6)**

Institutional pressures accelerate organizational adoption of sustainable supply chain management and circular economy practices [38].

Government regulations, environmental policies, ESG reporting requirements, and international sustainability standards encourage firms to integrate sustainability into strategic decision-making [39].

## **8. Discussion**

The integration of Sustainable Supply Chain Management, Green Operations, and Circular Economy practices represents a strategic evolution in organizational sustainability. Rather than treating environmental initiatives as compliance obligations, organizations increasingly view sustainability as a source of innovation, resilience, and competitive differentiation. The theoretical synthesis presented in this study demonstrates that environmental capabilities, stakeholder collaboration, institutional support, and regenerative resource management are mutually reinforcing components of sustainable business strategy [40].

The Natural Resource-Based View explains how environmental capabilities become valuable strategic resources, while the Triple Bottom Line broadens organizational performance beyond financial outcomes to include environmental and social dimensions. Stakeholder Theory emphasizes the importance of collaborative relationships across supply chains, and Institutional Theory highlights how regulatory and normative pressures encourage organizations to adopt sustainable practices. Circular Economy Theory complements these perspectives by advocating closed-loop systems that maximize resource efficiency and minimize waste [41].

Digital technologies further strengthen this integration. Artificial intelligence enhances demand forecasting and inventory optimization, blockchain improves traceability and transparency, and IoT enables real-time monitoring of resource consumption. These technologies facilitate evidence-based decision-making and support the transition from linear to circular business models [42].

Overall, the proposed framework provides a coherent theoretical foundation for understanding how organizations can integrate sustainability into core operational processes while creating long-term value for stakeholders and contributing to global sustainable development goals.

## 9. Managerial Implications

---

The proposed theoretical framework offers several practical implications for managers seeking to integrate sustainability into organizational strategy. Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM) should be regarded as a strategic capability rather than a compliance function. By embedding sustainability into procurement, production, logistics, warehousing, distribution, and end-of-life product management, organizations can simultaneously improve operational efficiency, reduce environmental impacts, and enhance corporate reputation [43].

Managers should prioritize supplier collaboration through environmental performance evaluation, sustainable procurement policies, supplier development programs, and transparent communication. Establishing long-term partnerships with environmentally responsible suppliers enhances supply chain resilience while reducing operational and reputational risks.

Green operations require continuous investment in cleaner production technologies, renewable energy systems, resource-efficient manufacturing, and environmentally friendly packaging. Digital technologies such as Artificial Intelligence (AI), Internet of Things (IoT), blockchain, cloud computing, and advanced analytics further strengthen sustainability by improving inventory optimization, demand forecasting, predictive maintenance, and real-time monitoring of environmental performance [44].

Organizations should also adopt circular economy strategies, including product redesign, modular manufacturing, repairability, remanufacturing, recycling, and industrial symbiosis. These initiatives reduce dependence on virgin raw materials, minimize waste generation, improve resource productivity, and create new revenue opportunities through secondary material markets [45].

Finally, sustainability performance should be integrated into corporate governance through key performance indicators (KPIs), environmental management systems, sustainability reporting, employee training, and incentive mechanisms. Such integration enables organizations to create long-term value while strengthening stakeholder trust and organizational resilience.

## 10. Policy Implications

---

Governments play a critical role in accelerating the transition toward sustainable supply chains and circular economy systems. Public policies should encourage organizations to adopt environmentally responsible practices through regulatory frameworks, financial incentives, fiscal measures, and sustainability-oriented industrial policies [46].

National governments should strengthen green public procurement policies by incorporating sustainability criteria into purchasing decisions. Environmental standards, eco-labeling, and carbon disclosure

requirements can encourage firms to improve transparency and environmental performance throughout their supply chains.

Economic incentives including tax benefits, low-interest financing, research grants, and innovation funds can stimulate investments in renewable energy, cleaner production technologies, waste recycling infrastructure, and circular business models. Policymakers should also support small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) through technical assistance, digital infrastructure, and capacity-building initiatives to facilitate sustainable transformation [47].

International cooperation remains essential for addressing global supply chain challenges. Harmonized sustainability standards, cross-border collaboration, and knowledge-sharing initiatives can improve the diffusion of best practices while supporting progress toward the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly SDGs 9 (Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure), 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production), and 13 (Climate Action).

Educational institutions and professional organizations should strengthen sustainability education by incorporating SSCM, green operations, and circular economy principles into business, engineering, and management curricula. Such initiatives will cultivate future leaders capable of driving sustainable organizational transformation [48].

## 11. Future Research Directions

Although this study develops a comprehensive theoretical framework, several opportunities exist for future empirical investigations. Future studies should validate the proposed framework using survey-based primary data and advanced quantitative techniques such as Structural Equation Modeling (SEM), Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM), covariance-based SEM (CB-SEM), and multilevel modeling [49]. Comparative studies across manufacturing, service, healthcare, logistics, agriculture, and public-sector organizations would improve the generalizability of findings.

Researchers may also investigate the moderating roles of digital transformation, organizational culture, leadership, innovation capability, environmental regulations, and stakeholder engagement in strengthening sustainability performance. Cross-country comparisons among developed and developing economies would provide valuable insights into contextual differences affecting SSCM and circular economy adoption.

Longitudinal research examining organizational sustainability over time would enhance understanding of dynamic capability development and sustainability transitions. Furthermore, future research should integrate emerging technologies including Artificial Intelligence, block-chain, digital twins, Industry 5.0, big data analytics, and the Internet of Things to examine their influence on sustainable operational performance and circular resource management [50].

Developing standardized sustainability measurement frameworks and internationally comparable indicators would further strengthen evidence-based policymaking and organizational decision-making.

## 12. Conclusion

Sustainable Supply Chain Management, Green Operations, and Circular Economy practices have evolved into essential strategic mechanisms for achieving long-term organizational sustainability. Rather than treating sustainability as an isolated environmental initiative, organizations increasingly integrate environmental, social, and economic considerations into core operational processes [51]. This theoretical study synthesizes

established sustainability theories including the Natural Resource-Based View, Triple Bottom Line, Stakeholder Theory, Institutional Theory, and Circular Economy Theory to develop an integrated conceptual framework explaining the relationships among sustainable supply chain practices, green operational capabilities, and organizational sustainability.

The framework demonstrates that sustainable procurement, green manufacturing, eco-design, renewable energy adoption, reverse logistics, resource efficiency, and circular resource management collectively enhance organizational resilience, operational efficiency, innovation capability, and stakeholder value. Digital technologies further strengthen these relationships by improving transparency, traceability, data-driven decision-making, and resource optimization [52].

The proposed conceptual framework contributes to the literature by integrating multiple theoretical perspectives into a unified model suitable for future empirical validation. It provides practical guidance for managers and policymakers while establishing a foundation for future research examining sustainability transformation across industries and geographical contexts [53].

As environmental challenges continue to intensify, organizations adopting integrated sustainability strategies will be better positioned to create long-term economic value while contributing meaningfully to environmental protection, social well-being, and global sustainable development.

---

## Funding

This research received no external funding.

---

## Conflict of Interest

The author(s) declare no conflict of interest.

---

## Data Availability Statement

This article is a theoretical and conceptual study developed through the synthesis of published scholarly literature. No original datasets were generated or analyzed. Future empirical studies may employ publicly available secondary data or primary survey data to validate the proposed conceptual framework.

---

## AI Usage Disclosure

The manuscript was developed with the assistance of a generative AI language tool for drafting and language refinement. All conceptual development, interpretation, organization, verification of scholarly content, and final editorial decisions remain the responsibility of the author(s). AI was not listed as an author, consistent with the journal's requirements.

---

## Author contributions

Conceptualisation: Marimuthu KN and K.Rajamannar

Methodology: Marimuthu KN

Investigation: Marimuthu KN

Writing: Marimuthu KN

All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript

## References

- [1] S. Seuring and M. Müller, "From a literature review to a conceptual framework for sustainable supply chain management," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 16, no. 15, pp. 1699–1710, 2008, doi: **10.1016/j.jclepro.2008.04.020**.
- [2] M. Geissdoerfer, P. Savaget, N. M. P. Bocken, and E. J. Hultink, "The Circular Economy – A new sustainability paradigm?" *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 143, pp. 757–768, 2017, doi: **10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.12.048**.
- [3] J. Elkington, *Cannibals with Forks: The Triple Bottom Line of 21st Century Business*. Oxford, UK: Capstone Publishing, 1997.
- [4] J. B. Barney, "Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage," *Journal of Management*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 99–120, 1991, doi: **10.1177/014920639101700108**.
- [5] S. L. Hart, "A natural-resource-based view of the firm," *Academy of Management Review*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 986–1014, 1995, doi: **10.5465/amr.1995.9512280033**.
- [6] J. Sarkis, "Supply chain sustainability: Learning from the COVID-19 pandemic," *International Journal of Production Economics*, vol. 237, 2021, doi: **10.1016/j.ijpe.2021.108104**.
- [7] Q. Zhu and J. Sarkis, "Relationships between operational practices and performance among early adopters of green supply chain management practices in Chinese manufacturing enterprises," *Journal of Operations Management*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 265–289, 2004, doi: **10.1016/j.jom.2004.01.005**.
- [8] A. Genovese, A. C. Acquaye, A. Figueroa, and S. C. L. Koh, "Sustainable supply chain management and the transition towards a circular economy," *International Journal of Production Economics*, vol. 183, pp. 344–357, 2017, doi: **10.1016/j.ijpe.2016.07.021**.
- [9] Y. Govindan and H. Soleimani, "A review of reverse logistics and closed-loop supply chains," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 142, pp. 371–384, 2017, doi: **10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.03.126**.
- [10] D. A. Ahi and C. Searcy, "An analysis of metrics used to measure performance in green and sustainable supply chains," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 86, pp. 360–377, 2015, doi: **10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.08.005**.
- [11] R. E. Freeman, *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach*. Boston, MA, USA: Pitman, 1984.
- [12] T. Donaldson and L. E. Preston, "The stakeholder theory of the corporation," *Academy of Management Review*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 65–91, 1995, doi: **10.5465/amr.1995.9503271992**.
- [13] P. J. DiMaggio and W. W. Powell, "The iron cage revisited," *American Sociological Review*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 147–160, 1983, doi: **10.2307/2095101**.
- [14] W. R. Scott, *Institutions and Organizations: Ideas, Interests and Identities*, 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, 2014.
- [15] M. E. Porter and C. van der Linde, "Green and competitive," *Harvard Business Review*, vol. 73, no. 5, pp. 120–134, 1995.
- [16] M. E. Porter and C. van der Linde, "Toward a new conception of the environment-competitiveness relationship," *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 97–118, 1995, doi: **10.1257/jep.9.4.97**.
- [17] H. Min and W. Kim, "Green supply chain research: Past, present, and future," *Logistics Research*, vol. 4, no. 1–2, pp. 39–47, 2012, doi: **10.1007/s12159-012-0071-3**.
- [18] N. M. P. Bocken, I. de Pauw, C. Bakker, and B. van der Grinten, "Product design and business model strategies for a circular economy," *Journal of Industrial and Production Engineering*, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 308–320, 2016, doi: **10.1080/21681015.2016.1172124**.
- [19] K. Govindan and H. Soleimani, "Sustainable operations management," *International Journal of Production Economics*, vol. 140, no. 1, pp. 1–3, 2012, doi: **10.1016/j.ijpe.2012.03.003**.
- [20] J. Hair, G. T. M. Hult, C. M. Ringle, and M. Sarstedt, *A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)*, 3rd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, 2022.
- [21] J. W. Creswell and J. D. Creswell, *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches*, 6th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, 2023.

- [22] W. H. Greene, *Econometric Analysis*, 9th ed. New York: Pearson, 2024.
- [23] J. M. Wooldridge, *Introductory Econometrics: A Modern Approach*, 8th ed. Boston: Cengage Learning, 2024.
- [24] R. K. Yin, *Case Study Research and Applications*, 7th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, 2024.
- [25] United Nations, *Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*, New York, NY, USA, 2015.
- [26] United Nations Environment Programme, *Global Environment Outlook 7*, Nairobi, Kenya, 2024.
- [27] United Nations Industrial Development Organization, *Industrial Development Report 2024*, Vienna, Austria, 2024.
- [28] Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, *Circular Economy Indicators*, Paris, France, 2024.
- [29] World Bank, *World Development Indicators*, Washington, DC, USA, 2024.
- [30] International Energy Agency, *World Energy Outlook 2024*, Paris, France, 2024.
- [31] Ellen MacArthur Foundation, *Towards the Circular Economy*, Cowes, UK, 2013.
- [32] World Economic Forum, *The Global Risks Report 2024*, Geneva, Switzerland, 2024.
- [33] International Organization for Standardization, *ISO 14001:2015 Environmental Management Systems—Requirements with Guidance for Use*, Geneva, Switzerland, 2015.
- [34] International Organization for Standardization, *ISO 20400:2017 Sustainable Procurement—Guidance*, Geneva, Switzerland, 2017.
- [35] United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, *Trade and Development Report 2024*, Geneva, Switzerland, 2024.