Cascading Cryosphere Hazards in a Warming Himalaya: A Secondary-Data Analysis of the August 2026 Nepal–Tibet Glacier-Collapse Debris Flow

[1]Vipan Kumar, PhD (Research Scholar)
Department of Tourism and Travel Management
School of Tourism, Travel and Hospitality Management,
Central University of Himachal Pradesh, Dharamshala, India
E-mail: vipantanti@gmail.com
Orchid ID: https://orcid.org/0009-0005-0941-2161

[2]Dr. Ashish Nag, Professor
Dean of the School of Tourism, Travel and Hospitality Management
Central University of Himachal Pradesh, Dharamshala, India
E-mail: anaag81@hpcu.ac.in
Orchid ID: https://orcid.org/0009-0006-8316-4837

[3]Dr. Harish Kumar, Assistant Professor
School of Tourism, Travel, and Hospitality Management
Department of Tourism and Travel Management
Central University of Himachal Pradesh, Dharamshala, India
Email: gautamharish.mta@hpcu.ac.in
Orchid ID: 0009-0009-2497-8744

[4]Payal Sood, PhD (Research Scholar)
Department of Tourism and Hotel Management
Lovely Professional University, Punjab
E-mail: payalsood222@gmail.com
Orcid ID: https://orcid.org/0009-0007-3879-5178

[5]Gaurav, PhD (Research Scholar)
Department of Social Work
Central University of Himachal Pradesh, Dharamshala, India
E-mail: gauravranarajput2@gmail.com

Abstract

The glacier temporarily blocked the Lhende Khola River, then released a destructive debris flow that travelled through the Bhote Koshi and Trishuli corridors into the districts of Rasuwa, Nuwakot, and Dhading in Nepal. This paper relies on secondary data from government disaster management bureaucracies and reporting from the United Nations, multilateral banks, and print and broadcast media. It focuses on the events surrounding the disaster, analysis of causal factors, casualties, damage to infrastructure and the economy, and response efforts by the government and international agencies. On August 29, 2026, the toll in Nepal was reported to be 675 dead with 2,478 missing and over 93,000 affected, while Tibet reported 7 dead and 560 missing persons. The calamity destroyed about 430 megawatts of hydropower capacity, 19

bridges, and 40 kilometres of road, while obliterating the vital Gyirong border crossing for Nepal-China trade. This paper discusses the event in the context of available scientific literature on glacial lake outburst floods (GLOFs) and ice–rock avalanches in High Mountain Asia, highlighting that the same Bhote Koshi corridor faced a similar transboundary glacial lake outburst event in 2016, which points to an issue of recurrent and unmitigated risk. The study finds there are still gaps in cross-border early detection, information sharing across borders, and infrastructure management based on climate risks, and gives some suggestions for governance and engineering. This study provides an early and organised description of a quickly changing disaster which will be useful for investigators, practitioners in civil defence planning, and policy-makers, but it also notes the limits of this investigation using secondary sources.
Keywords: glacier collapse; debris flow; glacial lake outburst flood (GLOF); Himalayan cryospheric hazards; transboundary disaster governance; hydropower vulnerability; Nepal; Tibet Autonomous Region; climate change adaptation

1. Introduction

1.1 Background and Rationale

The warming of the Hindu Kush-Himalaya (HKH) area at a speed around twice that of the global average is having a destabilising effect on glaciers, permafrost, and ice- and moraine-rock dammed lakes of the transboundary mountain chain system of eight countries **(Bajracharya et al., 2007; Dou et al., 2023)**. The cryospheric hazards produced include glacial lake outburst flooding (GLOFs) and ice-rock avalanches, and among other natural hazards are some of the most important yet least predictable in the region because they can start in one country and hit another, causing much destruction. At the same time, there is little time to prepare **(Veh et al., 2020)**. Nepal, which emits approximately 0.1 per cent of global emissions, lies downstream of many hazards in the Tibet Autonomous Region of China, making the Nepal-China border basins an excellent example of climate injustice and a test case for transboundary disaster governance **(Renew Earth News, 2026)**.

1.2 The August 2026 Event

At around 08:40 local time on 26 August 2026, a major portion of a glacier and contiguous rock face broke off from the glacier-nourished Lhende Khola River in a location known as Gyirong County, Tibet **(Petley, 2026)**. The resultant glacier rockfall triggered a seismic event depending on the scale at which it originated. What was initially represented by the USGS as a 4.4 ML quake was later redefined as a release of seismic energy from the glacier sliding off **(Ghimire,**

2026; Irwin & Chutel, 2026; Petley, 2026). The fall-off material dammed the river, resulting in a temporary barrier lake, which subsequently led to a collapse wherein debris and water cascaded from the heights of the respective glacier via the two rivers, Bhote Koshi and Trishuli **(Petley, 2026; Al Jazeera, 2026b)**. The flood swept away human settlements in the form of Syafru Besi and Timure, lying in Rasuwa district. The damage caused by the debris flow affected several hydropower schemes, along with the opening of the Gyirong-Rasuwagadhi transit path, which connects Nepal to China **(The Hindu Bureau, 2026a, 2026b ; India Today, 2026).**



Figure 1. The image illustrates the glacier collapse, formation of temporary lakes, and the subsequent flood pathway across the Nepal–China border **(Ghimire, 2026)**.

1.3 Objectives and Research Questions

This paper has four main purposes:

1. To reconstruct the physical mechanism and the sequence of events by utilising various secondary sources;

2. To investigate the human, infrastructural, and economic impacts after four days of the disaster;

3. To assess the national and international responses to the disaster event; and

4. To examine the existing frameworks of governance in transboundary disasters and formulate recommendations for further actions in this area.

The steps taken pertaining to the analysis were organised around several research questions: What was the physical mechanism causing the debris flow, and what is the relationship between it and the cases of the cryospheric hazard known in the region? What were the characteristics of

the damage suffered in Nepal and Tibet? What institutional deficiencies contributed to the occurrence of the disaster after it partially manifested previously in 2025 as well as in 2016?

1.4 Significance

According to the available statistics, this incident ranks as one of the most catastrophic single cryospheric events ever registered in the history of the Himalayas, and the number of those who died far surpasses the number of victims estimated for the 2021 Chamoli (Uttarakhand, India) rock-ice avalanche incident. It is interesting to note that since the triggering factor originated in China and most casualties occurred in Nepal, it opens up a perfect opportunity to study the efficacy and limitations of transboundary alert and cooperation mechanisms in South Asia **(Pandey, 2026; Shiwakoti, 2026; Biswas, 2026; Khadka et al., 2026)**.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Cryospheric Hazards in High Mountain Asia

A significant amount of literature reveals the increase and destabilisation of glacial lakes in the region of the Himalayas-Karakoram as glaciers fall back due to continuous warming **(Nie et al., 2017; Dimri et al., 2021)**. The analysis of glacial lake outburst floods in the Himalayas shows that such occurrences were noted starting with at least the event involving Shyok Glacier in 1926 **(Anand et al., 2025).** The amount of scientific work in this area has grown after 2000 due to the increase in remote sensing data and digital elevation models. At the global level, it became evident that the largest hazards caused by GLOF usually occur in specific international basins, where ice and lake failures are able to spread downstream, saturating hundred-kilometre river channels with sediments **(Veh et al., 2020).**

2.2 Ice–Rock Avalanches and Compound Cryospheric Failures

Not every disaster involving mass movement in high mountains is a case of typical lacustrine dammed GLOF events. One real-life case is the Chamoli calamity of 2021 that happened in the Indian state of Uttarakhand **(Singh et al., 2023)**. In this instance, a hanging glacier detached from the underlying rocks and produced a mass that turned into a debris flow and a water surge while moving down and absorbing sediment particles from the channel. This specific case demonstrates a mechanism very similar to that of the Lhende Khola episode that happened in August 2026 **(Petley, 2026)**. The identification of this type of occurrence is more challenging compared to lakes being monitored through inventories, which is due to the reason that this type of phenomenon derives from a glacier–bedrock interface rather than a lake itself **(Anand et al., 2025).**

2.3 A Direct Precedent: The 2016 Gongbatongsha Event on the Same Corridor

Ultimately relevant for the August 2026 disaster is a recorded glacial lake outburst flood that took place in 2016 at Gongbatongsha, also originating from Tibet and flowing down the Zhangzangbo–Bhote Koshi corridor into Nepal **(Rather et al., 2026; Sattar et al., 2022)**. The detailed reconstruction of this event reveals that the initial failure was due to a debris flow into the lake, which resulted in its overfilling and outburst, with the highest discharge at the confluence of the Zhangzangbo and Bhote Koshi, as well as a significant transport of sediments, with the highest deposition being recorded in the vicinity of the Upper Bhote Koshi hydro station **(Rather et al., 2026; Sattar et al., 2022)**. The case of the 2016 disaster shows how a relatively insignificant initial failure can escalate and result in significant transboundary floods and debris flows, thus supporting the idea that the Bhote Koshi represents a recurrent geomorphological hazard pathway rather than a single phenomenon **(Rather et al., 2026; Sattar et al., 2022; Petley, 2026)**.

2.4 Transboundary Basin Risk and Early Warning

Research on the risk of glacial lake outburst floods in the transboundary Himalayan basins highlights that exposure to hazards might reach areas far downstream from the lake or glacier, and hence there is a strong need for anticipatory risk assessments, as the river basins cross international boundaries with uneven levels of monitoring and data flow **(Veh et al., 2020)**. Evaluations of glacial lake outburst flood management in the Indian Himalaya underline the importance of early warning systems being a key risk-reduction tool, while pointing out difficulties associated with their development, operation, and inter-agency coordination **(Anand et al., 2025)**.

2.5 Disaster Governance Architecture in South Asia

Regional cooperation mechanisms exist, but they are not implemented uniformly across this category of hazards. For instance, the 2015 Kathmandu Call for Action, along with disaster management protocols as outlined by the Bay of Bengal Initiative for Multi-Sectoral Technical and Economic Cooperation (BIMSTEC), creates a framework for regional disaster risk reduction among South Asian and neighbouring countries; however, countries like China have not joined either of these frameworks, while Nepal–China bilateral border agreements have concentrated mainly on trade facilitation rather than the more cooperative practices of hazard monitoring or rescue operations **(Biswas, 2026; Kamal Ahamed, 2019 Shiwakoti, 2026; Zhang et al., 2026)**.

2.6 Research Gap

Although the literature on GLOF and rock–ice avalanches is well developed in terms of processes and hazard mapping, it lacks information on individual transboundary disasters at

their occurrence point **(Nie et al., 2017; Veh et al., 2020; Anand et al., 2025)**. This is information that is crucial for real-time policy learning. The current research bridges this gap for the event rendered in August 2026, employing a structured methodology for secondary data collection.

3. Methodology

This research utilises a qualitative research design that relies on documentary evidence (secondary data) because fieldwork is neither viable nor safe in the current situation. Data utilised in this study were categorised into four types:

1. Official publications, including the Nepal Disaster Management Authority **(Nepal News, 2026; ANI News, 2026).**
2. Publications by the United Nations and other international organisations **(Geneva, 2026; The Rising Nepal, 2026).**
3. Scientific literature and specialised hazard information platforms such as the Landslide Blog maintained by Eos **(Petley, 2026).**
4. Real-time reports by international news agencies like Al Jazeera, The Hindu, or other media outlets with established credibility **(Al Jazeera, 2026a, 2026b; Ghimire, 2026; India Today, 2026; Irwin & Chutel, 2026; The Hindu Bureau, 2026a, 2026b).**

The period of data collection lasted from August 26 to September 08, 2026; the data was retrieved from two or more independent sources for reliability purposes, and wherever discrepancies were found in the data collected (for example, in the number of tourists that were initially unaccounted for), the latest and most reputable figure was kept and mentioned in the writing.

3.1 Limitations

There are three important limitations.

Firstly, the fact that the disaster was still in process implies that fatality and damage estimates are only in a provisional state and will probably change; for example, the number of casualties was raised from less than 20 reported on August 26 to 675 on August 29 **(Al Jazeera, 2026a; India Today, 2026; Nepal News, 2026; The Hindu Bureau, 2026a, 2026b)**.

Secondly, the attribution of the exact cause of the failure by the use of satellite techniques and seismology had also not been established at the time of writing, since the explanation provided here is based only on preliminary opinions of the experts rather than on a reliable forensic investigation of the event **(Petley, 2026)**.

Thirdly, the fact that secondary sources were used means that it would be impossible for the analysis to validate any of the data issued by the local or international mass media; thus, the information could be biased.

4. Study Area

The corridor being discussed starts from Lhende Khola in Gyirong County, which is located in the Tibet Autonomous Region of China. It runs southwards to the neighbouring Rasuwa district of Nepal, where it becomes the Bhote Koshi River and later the Trishuli River before continuing into the districts of Nuwakot and Dhading **(Petley, 2026)**. The described bridge lies in the above-mentioned corridor, and this bridge being given the name Gyirong (Rasuwagadhi) bridge claimed to be responsible for around 60% of the trade between China and Nepal over land **(Nepal News, 2026)**. Furthermore, alongside the bridge, there is a series of hydroelectric plants that provide electricity through the runoff river and tracks used for trekking and pilgrimage to Langtang and the upper Valley of Trishuli **(Rather et al., 2026; Sattar et al., 2022; The Hindu Bureau, 2026a, 2026b).**

5. Findings

5.1 Trigger Mechanism and Event Sequence

The following is the sequence that outlines four stages:

1. A glacier–rock mass failure happened over the Lhende Khola around 08:40 local time.
2. The resultant debris formed a temporary barrier lake in the form of a dam along the river.
3. The barrier was breached in a matter of minutes, which led to a huge influx of water.
4. The debris began its journey downstream, resulting in a debris flow from the Bhote Koshi to the Trishuli, which carried down material including sediments, rocks, and river valley materials, similar to the amplification processes recorded in the 2016 accident in the same river system **(Petley, 2026; Rather et al., 2026; Sattar et al., 2022).**

Indicator	Evidence
Initial seismic classification	USGS initially reported a magnitude-4.4 earthquake, later reclassified as seismic energy from a rock–ice avalanche **(Ghimire, 2026; Irwin & Chutel, 2026; Petley, 2026).**
Satellite imagery	Commercial satellite imagery (Planet Labs) shows visible glacier-mass loss between 25 and 26 August 2026 at the source area **(Al**

	Jazeera, 2026b).
Hydrological recurrence	The Lhende Khola experienced a comparable, smaller flood in June 2025, also attributed to an ice–rock avalanche **(Petley, 2026).**
Debris composition	Field and imagery evidence indicates mud, boulders, and glacial sediment, with no reported evidence of a classical supraglacial-lake drainage **(Petley, 2026).**

5.2 Human Toll

Table 2 summarises casualty figures as reported at the four-day mark **(India Today, 2026; Nepal News, 2026; The Hindu Bureau, 2026a, 2026b)**. Reported figures continued to rise through the period covered and should be read as provisional.

Category	Nepal	Tibet (China)
Confirmed deaths	675	7
Missing	3,000 (including an estimated 1,000 tourists and hydropower workers)	560 (including an estimated 260 foreign nationals)
Rescued	Estimated 3,742 (including 121 foreign national tourists)	not separately reported
Affected population	Estimated 93,000+ requiring urgent assistance	not separately reported

Table 2. Casualty and impact figures at the four-day mark, 29 August 2026 **(Demócrata, 2026; India Today, 2026; Nepal News, 2026; Reuters, 2026; The Hindu Bureau, 2026a, 2026b).**

The list of foreigners affected included people from India, Australia, Malaysia, the Netherlands, Portugal, South Africa, South Korea, the United Kingdom, and the USA. The largest number of people missing or rescued were Indians **(Ministry of External Affairs, India, 2026; The Hindu Bureau, 2026a, 2026b).** About 900 workers in hydropower projects were reported missing since they were engaged in construction work or at organisations located along the corridor **(India Today, 2026; Nepal News, 2026).**

5.3 Infrastructure and Economic Losses

Sector	Reported damage	Estimated loss
Hydropower	Approximately 430 MW of installed capacity destroyed across multiple projects	US$2–3 billion
Transport	19 bridges and 40 km of road destroyed; Gyirong border crossing obliterated	US$ 20 billion
Housing	Syafru Besi and Timure largely destroyed; 32,000 families displaced and approximately 7,500 houses destroyed.	7,500+ homes
Tourism	Tourism sector losses included 142 hotels, 57 restaurants, 141 tourism-related vehicles, and 27 heritage sites damaged or destroyed.	US$ 5 billion (est.)

Table 3. Sectoral infrastructure and economic losses **(Bloomberg, 2026; Dhakal, 2026; Sharma & Varadhan, 2026; Sarkar, 2026; India Today World Desk, 2026; Sharma, 2026).**

According to the Ministry of Finance in Nepal, the total damages from the earthquake amount to about US$4-5 billion (**Giri, 2026).** Approximately 430 MW of hydroelectric capacity was destroyed, and losses were estimated to be around US$2-3 billion. The devastation also impacted 19 bridges, 40 km of roads, and greatly affected the Gyirong border crossing. Syafru Besi and Timure experienced serious damage, displacing 32,000 families and leading to the destruction of over 7,500 houses. In addition to the destruction of assets, the earthquake damaged or destroyed 18 schools, which affected around 10,000 students **(India Today, 2026; Ghimire, 2026; Irwin & Chutel, 2026; Nepal News, 2026; The Hindu Bureau, 2026a, 2026b).**

5.4 National and International Response

The National Disaster Risk Reduction and Management Authority in Nepal has put into place an emergency plan, with the Nepal Army sending in helicopters and personnel; by August 29, there had already been 2,465 rescues that had been achieved **(Nepal News, 2026; India Today, 2026)**. Due to the fact that there had been another flood warning on August 28, people in the Trishuli region were being evacuated **(ANI News, 2026)**. In a concerted effort to help Nepal, China sent military planes as well as rescue and firefighting teams **(Ghimire, 2026; Irwin & Chutel, 2026)**; India provided the National Disaster Response Force (NDRF) teams along with medicine and a help line that operated 24 hours a day and assistance worth about USD 500,000 **(Ministry of External Affairs, India, 2026)**; the USA also pledged approximately USD

500,000 in emergency shelter and water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) services **(Ghimire, 2026; Irwin & Chutel, 2026)**; South Korea has set up a task force in response to reports of missing citizens from their territory **(Ghimire, 2026; Irwin & Chutel, 2026);** while the United Nations organizations, together with the International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies (IFRC), offered aid in the form of food supplies, medical kits, tents, satellite images, and logistical assistance (**Geneva, 2026).** On August 28, the Asian Development Bank gave approval for an emergency grant of $5 million **(The Rising Nepal, 2026)**. The rescue services faced challenges in accessing the disaster area due to damaged roads and bridges, unstable terrain prone to repeated collapses, and heavy debris preventing the use of heavy machinery. Moreover, rain forecasts proved detrimental to the rescue mission as they obstructed the search process and increased the likelihood of another flood **(ANI News, 2026; Geneva, 2026).**

5.5 Governance Gaps

Four critical deficiencies appear throughout the analysis of the materials examined.

First, there was no collaborative early-warning mechanism in connection with the Lhende Khola, even though two flood events happened in the area in about fourteen months (June 2025 and August 2026), and one knows of a similar event in the Bhote Koshi corridor in 2016 **(Petley, 2026; Rather et al., 2026; Sattar et al., 2022).**

Second, adequate arrangements were devolved in China to pass information about detected glacier instability to the Nepalese authorities before the disaster occurred **(Anand et al., 2025).** Third, the joint efforts to coordinate rescue operations in the area conducted by Nepali, Chinese, and Indian authorities look like ad hoc attempts rather than a well-planned action based on the inter-state protocol **(Ghimire, 2026; Irwin & Chutel, 2026; Ministry of External Affairs, India, 2026).**

Fourth, some of the damaged hydroelectric plants were built without the necessary assessment of the climate hazard related to the upstream glaciers and permafrost areas **(Nepal News, 2026).**

6. Discussion

The event from August 2026 fits into the trend observed from earlier cryosphere disasters in the Himalayas instead of deviating from it. The physical elements of the event, including the initial failure of the glacier or glacier-rock that created a barrier across the river and led to a catastrophic breach downstream, resulted in the increasing flow of debris, closely resembling the events from the 2021 Chamoli disaster in India and, to a greater extent, the Gongbatongsha incident that occurred in 2016 on the clearly adjacent Zhangzangbo Bhote Koshi route **(Petley, 2026; Rather et al., 2026; Sattar et al., 2022).**

The occurrence of disasters from the same river system within a time span of less than ten years and the flooding of the particular sub-basin Lhende Khola in June 2025 imply that the August 2026 incident was a recurring case rather than an irrational black swan incidence **(Rather et al., 2026; Sattar et al., 2022; Veh et al., 2020).**

This recurrence leads to two conclusions. The first conclusion is that hazard mapping and early warning activities in the Himalaya should also consider ice–rock avalanche origin zones that do not behave like classic lake basins. This means that monitoring techniques such as InSAR and geothermal permafrost investigations that are employed in the monitoring of lake production cannot be applied to ice–rock avalanche source areas **(Anand et al., 2025).** Finally, the interstate nature of the hazard means that even national-level risk analysis is flawed since a monitoring or warning system on Nepalese territory would not be able to detect an event originating in Tibet and vice versa **(Veh et al., 2020)**.

The magnitude of the hydropower loss - 430 MW in one event, while being only part of the total capacity of thousands of megawatts - points to a more general structural vulnerability of the country, where the energy strategy is heavily based on run-of-river projects located, due to hydrology requirements, in the very steep glacier-fed valleys vulnerable to this type of hazard **(Nepal News, 2026)**. It is not straightforward to solve the conflict between the physical logic of hydropower placement and that of cryosphere hazard threat solely by relocating the hydropower facility; at least a part of a suitable solution would likely consist of engineering protection (elevated intakes, deflection installations), development of upstream monitoring and diversification of the generation mix.

In conclusion, the response phase demonstrates how both efficient, but also somewhat inefficient, international cooperation can be. Whether bilaterally with countries like China, India, the US, and South Korea or multilaterally through UN assistance and support, help came only days after the catastrophe, but through quite improvised ways of international cooperation instead of through a prepared protocol of cooperation, which suggests there is a "governance gap" mentioned in Section 5.5 and in transnational GLOF risk management literature.

7. Policy Recommendations

1. Create a specialised Himalayan cryospheric hazard monitoring system that integrates satellite-based glacier and permafrost monitoring procedures, seismic monitoring devices, and stream level measuring tools that would include a real-time data sharing system across the Nepal-China-India border **(Anand et al., 2025).**

2. Use methods for evaluating GLOF-type hazards in ice-rock avalanche originating areas without a mapped lake using slope deformation and temperature monitoring techniques in addition to traditional techniques used for lake mapping **(Anand et al., 2025).**

3. Make climate-hazard assessments that take into account hazards at both glacial and permafrost origins a requirement before granting licenses for institutions like hydropower, transport, and tourism in glacier-fed valleys **(Nepal News, 2026)**.

4. Negotiate a formal transboundary early-warning and data-sharing protocol between China and Nepal specific to glacial and periglacial hazards, potentially anchored to existing frameworks such as the Kathmandu Call for Action or a new bilateral instrument.

5. Establish a standing joint disaster-response task force for the Nepal–China border region, with pre-agreed rescue, communication, and cross-border access protocols, rather than relying on ad hoc mobilisation after each event **(Ghimire, 2026; Irwin & Chutel, 2026; Ministry of External Affairs, India, 2026).**

6. Introduce mandatory trekker registration and GPS-tracking requirements in high-risk valleys, paired with real-time weather and hazard alerts distributed through mobile networks and trekking permit systems **(India Today, 2026).**

7. Explore regional catastrophe risk-financing instruments, such as parametric insurance or a catastrophe bond, to accelerate reconstruction financing for hydropower and tourism-dependent local economies **(The Rising Nepal, 2026).**

8. Diversify overland trade routes between Nepal and China (for example, via Tatopani and alternative crossings) to reduce single-point-of-failure exposure created by dependence on the Gyirong corridor.

8. Conclusion

On August 26, 2026, Nepal and Tibet were hit by a mudflow that is being reported as possibly one of the most catastrophic cryospheric catastrophes witnessed in the Region, with approximately 675 deaths confirmed; 3,000 people missing, and over 93,000 people impacted in Nepal at the time of filing this report **(India Today, 2026; Nepal News, 2026; The Hindu Bureau, 2026a, 2026b).** It is evident that the disaster occurred due to physical processes constitutive of the event and that the way it unfolded in the Bhote Koshi area is reminiscent of the case of the Bhote Koshi flooding discussed, being the culmination of events that happened in 2016. Existing initiatives aimed at disaster preparedness in that area appear to be ineffective, as they do not provide early warnings or deal with China and Nepal in a systematic manner.

Artificial climate change is making it likely that more catastrophes of the same type will occur in the near future, thus depriving interested actors of the necessary time to take appropriate actions to avert the same kind of disaster in the future.

This research must be viewed as an initial, secondary-data documentation of an incident still in progress at the time of writing. Future investigations are expected to include post-event field studies, reconstruction of the volumes and processes of failure by remote sensing, and formal attribution analysis of the event applied to long-term glacier and permafrost trends in the source watershed.

References:

Al Jazeera. (2026a, August 26). Rescuers search for survivors after Nepal–China floods kill dozens. https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2026/8/26/at-least-eight-killed-in-nepal-flash-floods-that-swept-away-villages-roads

Al Jazeera. (2026b, August 27). Satellite images show destruction from Nepal–Tibet floods. https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2026/8/27/satellite-images-show-destruction-from-nepal-tibet-floods

ANI News. (2026, August 28). "Threat that there could be another flood": Former Minister Barshaman Pun urges vigilance amid fresh evacuation warnings in Nepal. https://www.aninews.in/news/world/asia/threat-that-there-could-be-another-flood-former-minister-barshaman-pun-urges-vigilance-amid-fresh-evacuation-warnings-in-nepal20260828144024

Anand, V., Gandhi, F., & Songara, J. (2025). Assessing and managing glacial lake outburst flood risks in the Indian Himalayas : a comprehensive review. *Natural Hazards*, *121*(15), 17253–17281. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-025-07497-5

Bajracharya, S. R., Mool, P. K., & Shrestha, B. R. (2007). Impact of climate change on Himalayan glaciers and glacial lakes. International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD).

Biswas, N. (2026, August 31). *Climate crisis without borders*. *Nepal News*. https://english.nepalnews.com/s/opinion/climate-crisis-without-borders/

Bloomberg. (2026, September 2). Fatal floods expose threats to hydropower in the roof of the world. The Japan Times.
https://www.japantimes.co.jp/environment/2026/09/02/energy/floods-threat-hydropower-nepal/

Demócrata. (2026, September 8). The Dalai Lama expresses his condolences for the “tragedy” of the floods in Nepal. https://www.democrata.es/en/international/the-dalai-lama-expresses-his-condolences-for-the-tragedy-of-the-floods-in-nepal/

Dhakal, K. N. (2026, August 27). Bhote Koshi disaster: Death toll reaches 289, infrastructure damage escalates to Rs 20 billion. Nepal News. https://english.nepalnews.com/s/nation/bhote-koshi-disaster-death-toll-reaches-289-infrastructure-damage-escalates-to-rs-20-billion/

Dimri, A. P., Allen, S., Huggel, C., Mal, S., Ballesteros-Cánovas, J. A., Rohrer, M., ... & Pandey, A. (2021). Climate change, cryosphere and impacts in the Indian Himalayan Region. *Current Science*, *120*(5), 774-790.

Dou, X., Fan, X., Wang, X., Fang, C., Lovati, M., & Zou, C. (2023). The response of glaciers and glacial lakes to climate change in the Southeastern Tibetan Plateau over the past three decades. Land Degradation & Development, 34(18), 5675–5696. https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.4870

Geneva, D. T. I. (2026, August 28). ‘Immense impact’ of Nepal flood disaster highlighted as casualties mount. UN News. https://news.un.org/en/story/2026/08/1168221

Ghimire, B. (2026, August 28). Scale of flood disaster grows as authorities say 2,400 are missing. The New York Times. https://www.nytimes.com/live/2026/08/28/world/nepal-tibet-flash-floods

India Today. (2026, August 29). Nepal evacuates 2,500 as flash floods kill hundreds and leave thousands missing. https://www.indiatoday.in/world/story/nepal-flash-floods-rescue-2500-evacuated-500-dead-2000-missing-ptag-2982273-2026-08-29

India Today World Desk. (2026, September 7). Nepal mourns flood dead as nearly 5,000 remain missing. India Today. https://www.indiatoday.in/world/story/nepal-rasuwa-flash-flood-national-mourning-1340-dead-5000-missing-ptag-2988591-2026-09-07

Irwin, Z., & Chutel, L. (2026, August 26). Death toll in Nepal floods tops 1,300: What to know. The New York Times. https://www.nytimes.com/2026/08/26/world/asia/nepal-tibet-floods-wtk.htm

Kamal Ahamed, V. (2019). The Bay of Bengal Initiative for Multi-Sectoral Technical and Economic Cooperation (BIMSTEC) and its Potential Opportunities for Sri Lanka. *Diplomacy and World Affairs, BIDTI Journal (Sri Lanka)*, *1*.

Khadka, N., Pandey, V. P., Watson, C. S., Zheng, G., Wu, T., Sharma, K., ... & Shrestha, D. (2026). The 2024 cascading glacial lake outburst flood in the Thame Valley of Everest region, Nepal: process, impacts and implications. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, *26*(8), 4131-4152.

Ministry of External Affairs, India. (2026, August 29). Transcript of bi-weekly media briefing by the official spokesperson (August 28, 2026). https://www.mea.gov.in/media-briefings?dtl/41706/Transcript_of_BiWeekly_Media_Briefing_by_the_Official_Spokesperson_August_28_2026

Nepal News. (2026, August 28). Nepal News evening briefing, Friday, 28 August 2026. https://english.nepalnews.com/s/main-left/nepal-news-evening-briefing-friday-august-28-2026/

Nie, Y., Sheng, Y., Liu, Q., Liu, L., Liu, S., Zhang, Y., & Song, C. (2017). A regional-scale assessment of Himalayan glacial lake changes using satellite observations from 1990 to 2015. Remote Sensing of Environment, 189, 1–13.

Pandey, L. (2026, September 4). Nepal flood disaster puts climate justice under spotlight. *dw.com*. https://www.dw.com/en/nepal-flood-disaster-puts-climate-justice-under-spotlight/a-78998617

Petley, D. (2026, August 26). The 26 August 2026 catastrophic debris flow in Nepal and Tibet. Eos. https://eos.org/thelandslideblog/26-august-2026-nepal-and-tibet

Rather, A. F., Ahmed, R., Shamim, T., Farooq, A., Mir, R. A., Wani, O., Gulzar, S. H., & Ahmed, P. (2026). *Glacial lakes and GLOFs in a warming Himalaya-Karakoram region : current understanding , challenges , and the way forward*.

Renew Earth News. (2026, August 28). Nepal's deadly glacier collapse lays bare global climate injustice. https://renewearth.news/nepals-deadly-glacier-collapse-lays-bare-global-climate-injustice/

Reuters. (2026, August 28). Over 90,000 affected by Himalayan landslide, Red Cross says. https://www.reuters.com/business/environment/over-90000-affected-by-himalayan-landslide-red-cross-says-2026-08-28/

Sarkar, A. R. (2026, August 28). 'Everyone is dead. I've lost everything': Survivors of Nepal's flood come to grips with death and destruction. The Independent. https://www.independent.co.uk/asia/south-asia/nepal-flash-flood-survivors-rescue-operation-b3040338.html

Sattar, A., Haritashya, U. K., Kargel, J. S., & Karki, A. (2022). Transition of a small Himalayan glacier lake outburst flood to a giant transborder flood and debris flow. *Scientific Reports*, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-16337-6

Sharma, S. (2026, September 2). Nepal urges foreign tourists to keep booking Himalayan holidays despite flash floods disaster. The Independent. https://www.independent.co.uk/travel/news-and-advice/nepal-tourism-flood-travel-

appeal-b3043294.html

Shiwakoti, P. (2026, August 31). When a glacier collapses across a border, what does international law say? The Kathmandu Post. https://kathmandupost.com/columns/2026/08/31/when-a-glacier-collapses-across-a-border-what-does-international-law-say

Singh, G., Rawat, M., & Pandey, A. (2023). Debris flow simulation and modeling of the 2021 flash flood hazard caused by a rock-ice avalanche in the Rishiganga River valley of Uttarakhand. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, *195*(9), 1118.

The Hindu Bureau. (2026a, August 30). Nepal flash floods updates: Rescuers claw through mud as death toll rises to 675. The Hindu. https://www.thehindu.com/news/international/nepal-flash-floods-death-toll-missing-persons-fresh-flood-threat-glacier-collapse-live-updates-august-29-2026/article71403315.ece

The Hindu Bureau. (2026b, August 31). Nepal flash floods updates: Death toll reaches 788; rescuers drill through debris towards trapped tunnel workers. The Hindu. https://www.thehindu.com/news/international/nepal-flash-floods-live-updates-death-toll-rescue-operation-missing-person-tibet-border-glacier-collapse-news/article71406948.ece

The Rising Nepal. (2026, August 28). ADB approves US$5 million grant for Nepal's flood survivors. https://risingnepaldaily.com/news/85716

Veh, G., Korup, O., & Walz, A. (2020). *Hazard from Himalayan glacier lake outburst floods*. *117*(2), 907–912. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1914898117

Zhang, G., Yao, T., Huss, M., Carrivick, J. L., Bolch, T., Li, X., ... & Ali, F. (2025). A monitoring network for mitigating Himalayan glacial lake outburst floods. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, *106*(12), E2579-E2597.