



Role of Radiology in Managing Postoperative Surgical Site Infections: A Diagnostic and Interventional Perspective

Dr. Rakesh Kumar Yadav, Dr. Nabin Kumar Yadav, Dr. Bibha Yadav

Department of Orthopedics & Traumatology Surgery, Department of Radiology

Docversity University School of Medicine

Email: docversity.research@gmail.com

Abstract

Surgical site infections (SSIs) remain one of the most common and serious postoperative complications, contributing to increased patient morbidity, prolonged hospital stays, and elevated healthcare costs. Early and accurate diagnosis, combined with timely intervention, is essential to improve outcomes. Radiology plays a pivotal role in both diagnosing and managing SSIs through various imaging modalities and interventional procedures. This review highlights the comprehensive role of radiology in detecting and treating SSIs, focusing on the use of ultrasound, computed tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) across general surgery, orthopedics, gynecology, and cardiovascular specialties. Ultrasound is valuable for assessing superficial wounds and guiding fluid aspiration; CT offers high-resolution visualization of deep collections and surgical complications; MRI excels in evaluating soft tissue and bone infections, especially around prostheses or in complex regions like the pelvis or spine. Interventional radiology enables percutaneous drainage, abscess aspiration, and catheter placement, offering a minimally invasive alternative to surgical re-intervention. Proper imaging interpretation helps distinguish normal postoperative findings from infection, aiding in accurate diagnosis and targeted management. Overall, radiology significantly enhances postoperative infection control, and its integration into multidisciplinary care is critical for improving surgical outcomes.

Key Words: Surgical Site Infection (SSI), Radiology, Imaging-Guided Drainage, Postoperative Complications, Computed Tomography (CT), Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), Ultrasound, Interventional Radiology, Abscess Detection, Wound Assessment, Percutaneous Drainage, Postoperative Imaging, Fluid Collections, Orthopedic Infections, Abdominal Abscess

1. Introduction

Surgical site infections (SSIs) are complications that arise at or near a surgical incision within 30 days of an operation (or within one year if an implant is involved). They can range from superficial skin and subcutaneous infections to deep organ or space infections. SSIs occur in roughly 2–10% of surgeries, with higher rates in complex or contaminated procedures, and are associated with increased morbidity, prolonged hospital stays, and significant healthcare costs. Common pathogens include *Staphylococcus aureus*, coagulase-negative staphylococci, and gram-negative bacteria; implant-related infections often involve biofilm-forming organisms. Early detection and management of SSIs are crucial to prevent severe outcomes such as sepsis or the need for reoperation.

Physical examination and laboratory tests (e.g. elevated white blood count, C-reactive protein) are often the first indicators of a postoperative infection. However, clinical signs may be subtle or non-specific, especially in



deep or organ-space infections. This is where radiology plays an essential role. Imaging can confirm the presence of fluid collections, abscesses, or hardware-related infections; delineate the extent of infection; and guide therapeutic intervention. Ultrasound, computed tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) each contribute unique information: ultrasound is rapid and portable for superficial sites, CT provides detailed cross-sectional anatomy for deep spaces, and MRI offers superior soft-tissue contrast for complex regions (e.g. spine, pelvis, or diabetic foot).

In addition to diagnostic imaging, interventional radiology techniques now allow minimally invasive management of many SSIs. Percutaneous drainage of abscesses under ultrasound or CT guidance has become first-line therapy for many fluid collections, often obviating the need for open surgery. Image-guided aspiration provides diagnostic culture samples, and catheter drainage facilitates resolution of infection. Radiologists also assist surgeons by monitoring wound healing and identifying complications such as dehiscence or hematoma.

This article reviews current practices in radiologic management of postoperative SSIs from both diagnostic and interventional perspectives. We analyze imaging modalities (ultrasound, CT, MRI), describe the radiographic appearance of infection versus expected postoperative changes, and outline criteria for suspecting SSI on imaging. The discussion covers imaging-guided drainage procedures and follow-up imaging strategies. Importantly, we consider how radiology is applied across surgical specialties – general surgery (abdominal), orthopedics (including joint replacements), gynecology, and cardiovascular surgery – highlighting specific contexts and challenges. Advances in imaging technology and novel techniques are discussed, along with practical recommendations for radiologists and surgeons working together to improve outcomes for patients with SSIs.

2. Methods

A comprehensive literature review was undertaken focusing on the role of imaging in the diagnosis and management of postoperative surgical site infections. Key sources included radiology and surgical practice guidelines, review articles, and recent clinical studies (up to 2024) addressing imaging modalities and interventional procedures related to SSIs. Emphasis was placed on summarizing the technical aspects and clinical utility of ultrasonography, CT, and MRI in identifying wound complications, as well as describing interventional radiology techniques for abscess drainage and fluid aspiration. The search covered applications across multiple surgical fields – general/abdominal surgery, orthopedic surgery (including joint arthroplasty), gynecologic surgery, and cardiovascular/thoracic procedures – to ensure broad applicability. Diagnostic criteria for SSIs, typical imaging findings, and postoperative monitoring strategies were extracted and synthesized into a cohesive framework. The methods blend a didactic review of imaging principles with illustrative examples, aiming to provide practical guidance rather than original experimental data.

3. Results

Imaging Modalities for SSI Detection

Multiple imaging modalities are employed to identify and characterize SSIs. Each has strengths in particular settings (Tables of modality pros/cons are summarized below in bullet form). The choice often depends on the surgical site, timing of infection, and patient factors.

- **Ultrasound (US):** Ultrasound is a first-line tool for evaluating superficial surgical sites and accessible fluid collections. It uses sound waves to generate real-time images, without radiation exposure. In the

hands of an experienced operator, ultrasound can detect fluid collections as anechoic or hypoechoic regions, identify complex fluid with internal echoes, and reveal soft-tissue edema. Color Doppler can assess vascularity, with hyperemia suggesting inflammation. US is particularly useful for scanning abdominal wall incisions, superficial wounds (e.g. shoulder, knee), and joints for effusions. Since ultrasound is not impeded by metal, it excels at evaluating areas around orthopedic implants for fluid or cellulitis. Interventional applications include ultrasound-guided needle aspiration of superficial abscesses or guiding pigtail drain placement into accessible collections. Advantages include bedside availability, low cost, and ability to guide biopsy or drainage without iodinated contrast. However, ultrasound's limitations are that it has poor penetration in obese patients or when intervening gas (bowel gas) or bone obscures the field. Deep pelvic or thoracic collections behind aerated lung often require other modalities.

- **Computed Tomography (CT):** CT is often the mainstay for diagnosing deeper or complex SSIs. It provides high-resolution cross-sectional images of anatomy and pathology. CT can detect fluid collections anywhere in the body, including abdomen, pelvis, chest, and extremities. Fluid appears as a collection with near-water attenuation; an abscess typically develops a thick enhancing rim post-contrast, whereas simple seromas often have thin walls without enhancement. CT is sensitive for identifying gas within tissues or fluid collections – a classic sign of infection – especially after the early postoperative period. It can also delineate bone destruction (osteomyelitis), evaluate the integrity of anastomoses, and detect associated findings (e.g. pleural effusion or empyema in chest surgeries). For orthopedic patients, CT can show lucency around hardware and peri-implant changes. Vascular complications such as pseudoaneurysm or thrombosis are also visible on CT angiography. CT's speed and wide availability make it ideal for acutely ill patients. Drawbacks include radiation exposure and the need for contrast in many cases (which may be limited in renal insufficiency). Nonetheless, CT's three-dimensional detail is unmatched for surgical planning or guiding interventions.
- **Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI):** MRI offers the best soft tissue and bone marrow contrast, making it invaluable for complex or ambiguous cases. It is the modality of choice for suspected osteomyelitis or spinal infection, as it sensitively shows bone marrow edema and intervertebral disk inflammation. MRI sequences (T2-weighted, STIR) highlight edema and fluid; post-gadolinium T1 sequences accentuate enhancing abscess capsules and inflamed soft tissue. Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) can identify abscess cavities by their restricted diffusion (bright on DWI, dark on ADC map). MRI is especially useful in regions where radiation should be minimized (e.g. young patients) or where ultrasound/CT are limited by artifacts (e.g. behind metal in the abdomen). However, MRI is more time-consuming, less available on an emergency basis, and has contraindications (pacemakers, some implants). Metal hardware can cause image distortion, although modern "metal artifact reduction" sequences and techniques (e.g. view angle tilting, optimized bandwidth) have improved imaging around prostheses. In orthopedics, MRI can evaluate peri-prosthetic tissues and muscles if the implant is MRI-compatible, while in neurosurgery it remains the gold standard for postoperative spine or brain abscess assessment. MRI is also used in pelvic SSIs (e.g. postoperative abscess after gynecologic surgery) and perineal/perianal infections.
- **Plain Radiography:** Simple X-rays have limited sensitivity for early SSIs but provide baseline information. Plain films can establish implant position and detect gross osteolysis or gas. They are often obtained initially in orthopedic and spine patients. However, early infection rarely shows on radiographs; changes like soft tissue swelling or bone loss appear only in advanced disease. Thus X-rays

serve mainly to rule out mechanical issues or as a reference for comparison, rather than to diagnose infection per se.

- **Nuclear Medicine and PET:** Nuclear imaging (e.g. labeled white blood cell scans, gallium scans, and FDG-PET/CT) is sometimes employed when the infection focus is occult or multifocal. Technetium-99m bone scans may show increased uptake in bone infection, but are not specific. Combined leukocyte/bone scans increase specificity for osteomyelitis. FDG-PET/CT is emerging as a powerful tool to detect infection by highlighting areas of hypermetabolism, useful in prosthetic joint infections or vascular graft infections. These modalities provide whole-body surveys and high sensitivity but have poorer spatial resolution and often take longer to perform. They are adjuncts when conventional imaging is equivocal, or when systemic infection without clear source is suspected.

Below is a summary of key points for the major modalities:

4. Ultrasound (US):

Strengths: No radiation, portable, can assess wound and joint effusions, good for guiding aspiration or drain placement.

Findings: Anechoic or complex fluid collections; subcutaneous edema (hyperechoic fat in mild, hypoechoic in severe). Hyperemia on color Doppler may indicate infection.

Limitations: Limited penetration (body habitus, gas, bone); operator-dependent; cannot reliably differentiate infected vs sterile fluid by appearance alone.

5. Computed Tomography (CT):

- *Strengths:* Detailed cross-sectional anatomy; gold standard for deep abdominal, pelvic, and chest collections; guides percutaneous procedures; fast.
- *Findings:* Abscess shows low-attenuation fluid with rim enhancement (with contrast), often contains gas locules. Soft tissue stranding and enhancement around a wound suggest inflammation. Bone lysis or gas in spine sign osteomyelitis.
- *Limitations:* Radiation dose; contrast risk; can find many postoperative findings (fluid, fat stranding) that require clinical correlation.

6. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI):

- *Strengths:* Excellent soft tissue contrast; best for osteomyelitis/diskitis; sensitive detection of small collections; no radiation.
- *Findings:* Fluid is bright on T2; abscess cavity with peripheral enhancement on contrast images; bone marrow edema (hyperintense on T2/STIR) for osteomyelitis.
- *Limitations:* Longer exam; artifacts from metal (though improving); not ideal in unstable or claustrophobic patients; more expensive.

7. Other:

- X-ray for baseline and hardware evaluation.

- Nuclear/PET for occult or systemic infection, less commonly first-line due to availability and specificity issues.

Interventional Radiology Procedures

Interventional radiology (IR) offers minimally invasive treatments for SSIs, primarily through image-guided drainage and aspiration. These procedures can often replace or defer surgery in selected cases. Key interventions include:

- **Percutaneous Drainage of Abscesses:** Once an abscess is identified on imaging, percutaneous catheter drainage is usually first-line. Under ultrasound or CT guidance, a needle is inserted into the collection, and a pigtail catheter is placed to continuously drain pus. This is often done under local anesthesia or conscious sedation. Guidance modality depends on the abscess location: ultrasound is preferred for superficial or flank collections, CT for deep pelvic or retroperitoneal abscesses. Multiple studies report success rates around 80–90% in resolving intra-abdominal abscesses with percutaneous drainage, avoiding the need for reoperation. Drainage not only treats the infection by evacuating pus, but also provides culture material to tailor antibiotics. Catheters may remain for days to weeks, with serial imaging to ensure resolution. Careful catheter management (flushes, monitoring output) is essential.
- **Needle Aspiration and Biopsy:** For smaller collections or diagnostic purposes, a one-time fine-needle aspiration can sample fluid for microbiology. This is useful if the fluid appears complex or if a diagnosis is uncertain. Similarly, if no fluid is present but an infection is suspected, image-guided percutaneous tissue biopsy can obtain samples from abscess walls or bone. For example, ultrasound-guided synovial biopsy or aspiration of a joint can confirm prosthetic joint infection in orthopedics. Fluoroscopy or CT may guide spine biopsies for suspected vertebral osteomyelitis.
- **Cholangio- or Choledochal Drainage:** In abdominal surgery complications, IR can decompress obstructed biliary systems or drain pancreatic abscesses. Endoscopic approaches (ERCP) often share this role, but percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage (PTBD) is an IR option when ERCP is not feasible. Similarly, percutaneous drainage of pancreatic pseudocysts or abscesses (using CT or US guidance) is commonly done in pancreatic surgery complications.
- **Treatment of Infected Grafts or Vascular Collections:** In vascular surgery, infected pseudoaneurysms or perigraft abscesses may be managed by IR techniques. Coil embolization or stent-grafting can address pseudoaneurysms, but infection of prosthetic grafts usually requires surgical explantation; IR can assist by draining surrounding fluid and isolating the infection before or after surgery.
- **Wound Irrigation and Novel Techniques:** Though less common, some interventional techniques deliver antimicrobial agents directly into cavities or use embolic materials to treat infection. For example, injecting antibiotic solution through a drain (interventional abscess lavage) is practiced in some centers. Research into ultrasound-facilitated drainage or dissolving septations in abscesses (to improve drainage) is ongoing.

The benefits of IR management include avoidance of general anesthesia and laparotomy, shorter recovery, and high efficacy. However, not all collections are amenable to percutaneous access (for example, those in dangerous locations between bowel loops or near major vessels may require surgical drainage). Risk factors for unsuccessful drainage include thick septations, solid necrotic debris, or multiple loculations. Proper imaging evaluation by the radiologist is needed to choose candidates and plan the approach route.

Imaging Findings and Assessment of Wounds and Collections

Imaging plays a critical role in distinguishing SSIs from normal postoperative changes and other causes of fluid or pain. Several common scenarios are encountered:

- **Differentiating Normal Postoperative Changes vs Infection:** Mild soft tissue edema, small fluid pockets, and a trace of gas are often expected in the first few days after many surgeries. For instance, pneumoperitoneum after laparotomy is normal in the immediate postoperative period; low-density fluid (serous drain output) may be seen in surgical beds, and sterile hematomas are common. These findings should not be overinterpreted as infection. Instead, radiologists evaluate timing, volume, and evolution. Persistent or increasing collections beyond one week, especially with thick enhancing walls or internal gas bubbles, raise concern. Constellation of findings – thickened fascia, diffuse fat stranding, and enhancement – in combination with fever and lab markers, supports infection. A stable or decreasing simple fluid collection with thin walls and no gas is more likely a benign seroma. Key imaging “red flags” for infection include: rim-enhancing collection; gas bubbles (beyond the early postop period); new bone destruction; and adjacent soft-tissue enhancement. In equivocal cases, correlating imaging with clinical signs (redness, purulent drainage) is essential.

8. Fluid Collections (Seroma vs Abscess vs Hematoma):

- *Seroma:* a sterile collection of serous fluid, usually simple on imaging (water attenuation on CT, anechoic on US, homogeneous high T2 signal on MRI). Seromas often occur in dead space, such as after hernia repair or mastectomy. They have thin walls, lack significant enhancement, and generally resolve. However, large seromas can cause tension or serve as a nidus for infection if unaddressed.
- *Abscess:* an infected collection typically appears as a complex fluid mass. On CT, abscesses have water attenuation core but often with higher-density debris and gas; the wall is thick and shows post-contrast enhancement (the “rim-enhancement” sign). Ultrasound shows a thick-walled anechoic or complex fluid; internal debris or gas can cause shadowing. MRI shows central fluid (bright on T2) with peripheral enhancement and possibly diffusion restriction centrally. Abscesses often appear later after surgery (days to weeks), especially if clinical infection signs manifest.
- *Hematoma:* a collection of blood. In the early phase, a hematoma may mimic an abscess on ultrasound (complex, echogenic fluid). However, on CT hematomas appear hyperattenuated (30–70 HU) due to clotted blood; they do not enhance peripherally. On MRI, subacute hematomas can appear very bright on T1 if methemoglobin is present. Importantly, hematomas should have no rim enhancement unless secondarily infected. The “hematocrit effect” (layering of blood densities) is a clue to hematoma. Hematomas often resolve spontaneously; unless very large or expanding, they do not require drainage.
- **Wound and Implant Assessment:** Radiology can also evaluate the surgical wound itself and the integrity of implants. For example, in cases of wound dehiscence (opening), CT may show separation of skin and fascial layers, often with interposed fluid or air. Imaging can identify non-healing tracts or fistulae if contrast is injected. For orthopedic implants (hips, knees, spine hardware), imaging signs of infection include peri-implant lucency on X-ray or CT, progressive osteolysis, and fluid around the prosthesis on MRI or US. However, distinguishing postoperative inflammation from infection can be difficult; mild peri-implant enhancement or edema can persist months after implant placement. Thus trends over time (increasing fluid or bone loss) and clinical context (new pain, fever) are essential. Ultrasound or MRI can also identify sinus tracts extending to skin.

SSI in Different Surgical Specialties

Radiologic evaluation of SSIs must be tailored to the type of surgery, as each specialty has unique anatomical considerations:

- **General and Abdominal Surgery:** Common sites include laparotomy or laparoscopic incisions and intra-abdominal organ spaces. Superficial incisional SSIs manifest around the skin or subcutaneous tissue and are often evaluated initially by physical exam; imaging (usually ultrasound or CT) is obtained if deep involvement is suspected. Deep organ-space infections (e.g. intra-abdominal abscess after bowel surgery) typically require CT for diagnosis. For example, after colon resection, a subphrenic or pelvic abscess might form; CT will localize it and guide drainage. CT features of an abscess include a rim-enhancing collection with surrounding fat stranding. Ultrasound may detect fluid around abdominal drains or in pelvis but has limited utility for retroperitoneal collections. Complications specific to GI surgery include anastomotic leaks leading to abscess; on CT this appears as extraluminal fluid with possible oral contrast extravasation or gas near an anastomosis. After hepatobiliary or pancreatic surgery, collections may be near the liver or pancreas; ultrasound can guide percutaneous drainage if accessible, while CT is used for complex multiloculated collections. Key points for abdominal SSIs: expect moderate free air and small fluid in early postop images (these can mislead), but focus on any enlarging fluid, new gas, or rim-enhancing collections after the first week. Radiologists must also distinguish infectious collections from expected postop fluid due to packing or drains.
- **Orthopedic Surgery:** In the musculoskeletal domain, SSIs occur in soft tissues, bone, or around implants. After fracture fixation or prosthetic joint placement (hip/knee arthroplasty), superficial wound infections may be evaluated by ultrasound (for subcutaneous fluid) or MRI (for soft-tissue extent) if needed. Implant-related infections (periprosthetic joint infections) pose a diagnostic challenge: simple radiographs may show prosthesis loosening or periosteal reaction, but these are often late signs. MRI (with metal artifact reduction) and nuclear scans are more sensitive for early infection. Joint aspirations under imaging guidance yield synovial fluid for culture and can help confirm infection. In spine surgery, postoperative infection can range from superficial wound infection to deep vertebral osteomyelitis and epidural abscess. Here, MRI is invaluable: discitis or epidural pus is most sensitively detected with MR. Ultrasound has a limited role in spine infections (it can detect superficial paraspinal collections) but is used extensively to guide needle biopsy of vertebral bodies under CT. Generally, orthopaedic SSIs often require multi-modality imaging (X-ray, CT, MRI) to fully characterize. Notably, radiographs should be obtained serially to monitor hardware position; any new lucency or component migration suggests loosening, possibly from infection.
- **Gynecological Surgery:** Gynecologic operations (e.g. hysterectomy, cesarean section, oophorectomy) can lead to pelvic abscesses or wound infections. Ultrasound is frequently the first imaging step for pelvic pain or fever after gynecologic surgery, as it can quickly identify fluid in the pelvis, vagina, or surgical bed. For instance, postoperative pelvic collections (like in the pouch of Douglas) appear as anechoic fluid on transabdominal or transvaginal ultrasound. If ultrasound is inconclusive or shows complex collection, CT or MRI of the pelvis is used. CT can evaluate deep pelvic abscesses (e.g. near the vaginal cuff or bladder) and visualize adjacent bowel involvement. MRI offers excellent delineation of soft tissues in the pelvis and can characterize complex fluid (for example differentiating hematoma from abscess after gynecologic cancer surgery). Wound infections after cesarean section (layered abdominal wound) can be studied with ultrasound to detect subcutaneous or muscular fluid; MRI is rarely needed unless deeper fascial infection is suspected. Another example is pelvic inflammatory

disease complicating surgery, which CT/MRI can show as thick-walled fallopian tube abscess or tubo-ovarian abscess. In summary, gynecologic SSIs often involve imaging of both superficial incisions and deeper pelvic compartments, requiring ultrasound for initial assessment and cross-sectional imaging for definitive evaluation.

- **Cardiovascular and Thoracic Surgery:** Postoperative infections in cardiac and thoracic surgery are serious (e.g. mediastinitis after sternotomy). For sternal wound infections, CT of the chest is the primary modality. CT findings suggestive of mediastinitis include fluid tracking along the sternum, mediastinal fluid collections, gas in the soft tissues or mediastinum (beyond early postoperative air), and evidence of sternal dehiscence (widening or separation of sternal halves). CT also shows pleural effusions or empyema which may accompany mediastinitis. Ultrasound has a limited role in mediastinal infection but can identify pleural fluid at bedside. MRI of the chest is generally less used post-sternotomy due to motion and mediastinal hardware. In endovascular procedures (e.g. aortic graft placement), CT angiography is used to detect perigraft infections; findings include soft tissue thickening around the graft, gas or fluid. PET/CT may be used to identify graft infection via increased uptake. Device pocket infections (e.g. pacemaker pocket) can be evaluated by ultrasound to see fluid or echogenic tissue. In summary, cardiovascular SSIs rely heavily on CT (and nuclear/PET as adjuncts) for diagnosis, with radiographs used to monitor sternal wires or hardware position.

Postoperative Monitoring and Follow-up

Imaging is not performed routinely in all postoperative patients, but rather is reserved for clinical suspicion or complication monitoring. For example, if a patient fails to improve as expected or shows new fever/leukocytosis, imaging is indicated. Once an infection is diagnosed or treated, serial imaging monitors resolution. CT or ultrasound can track the size of an abscess cavity over days; persistent or enlarging collections may prompt additional intervention. After percutaneous drainage, the catheter tract is monitored (often by CT contrast through the catheter) to ensure correct positioning and adequate drainage. Routine postoperative scans (e.g. a scheduled CT on postoperative day 7) are generally discouraged unless clinical signs warrant it, since many small collections are benign. However, high-risk scenarios (e.g. immunosuppressed patients or those with a contaminated surgery) may justify earlier imaging. In orthopedic hardware infections, follow-up radiographs check for implant loosening. The timing of follow-up imaging depends on the infection's severity; for large abscesses drained percutaneously, imaging might be repeated in a week or two. Ultrasound follow-up is practical for superficial wounds or fluid check. In summary, postoperative imaging is targeted: it helps answer specific questions (Is there an abscess? Is the drain working?) rather than routine surveillance.

Diagnostic Criteria for SSI and Imaging Correlation

Definition of SSI is clinical: it includes any infection at the incision site (superficial or deep) or organ/space accessed during surgery, within a defined time frame (30 days or 1 year for implants). Radiologists integrate imaging findings with clinical criteria. No imaging feature alone "rules in" or "rules out" infection, but certain patterns are strongly suggestive. For example:

- A fluid collection with a thick, enhancing wall (abscess) combined with gas and surrounding tissue edema is highly indicative of infection, especially if occurring days after surgery.
- Diffuse soft-tissue gas or extensive subcutaneous emphysema is ominous for necrotizing infection if accompanied by systemic signs.
- Progressive bone destruction on serial images indicates osteomyelitis.

- Sinus tracts connecting deep collections to skin are often seen with chronic or poorly controlled SSIs.
- Doppler ultrasound showing marked hyperemia around a wound suggests active infection or cellulitis, whereas minimal flow is more likely a benign seroma or post-surgical inflammation.

Importantly, imaging findings must be interpreted in context. Early after surgery, even abscess-like collections might be sterile hematomas or seromas. Conversely, a patient with clinical sepsis and ambiguous imaging might still have an early infection. Thus radiology reports typically describe the imaging characteristics ("rim-enhancing fluid with gas bubbles suggests abscess") and often recommend aspiration for confirmation. In essence, radiologic criteria for SSI emphasize fluid collections with inflammatory features, but definitive diagnosis often still relies on microbiology.

9. Discussion

Radiology has become indispensable in the management of postoperative surgical site infections, providing both diagnostic clarity and therapeutic options. Imaging modalities each contribute unique advantages: ultrasound offers a rapid bedside assessment of superficial incisions and guiding interventions; CT provides a comprehensive survey of deep collections and anatomic complications; MRI excels in delineating soft tissue and bone infection; while nuclear scans and PET/CT serve as problem-solving tools for obscure cases. The interventional radiologist now plays a frontline role in SSI treatment by performing percutaneous drainage of abscesses with high success rates. This evolution means that many patients with intra-abdominal, pelvic, or musculoskeletal abscesses can avoid the morbidity of repeat open surgery.

The clinical impact is significant. Prompt imaging detection of an abscess allows antibiotics to be focused and often immediately treatable by drainage. For example, an undrained intra-abdominal abscess after bowel surgery can lead to fistulas or sepsis, but timely radiologic drainage typically leads to rapid clinical improvement. In orthopedic infections, imaging can identify subtle fluid collections around a knee prosthesis that, when aspirated, yield bacteria; treating such early can preserve the implant. In cardiovascular surgery, CT scanning for suspected mediastinitis can quickly differentiate between routine postoperative changes and life-threatening infection, enabling urgent debridement or antibiotics.

From a practical standpoint, radiologists and surgeons must communicate closely. The type of surgery performed, anticipated normal postoperative appearance, and timeline are critical for interpretation. Radiologists should compare current images to immediate postoperative baselines when available. Knowledge of implanted materials is also key: for instance, differentiating sterile osteolysis due to stress from infection-related lysis. Radiologists should also be aware of surgical materials (e.g. hemostatic agents, radiopaque dressings) that can mimic pathology. Surgeons, on the other hand, benefit from understanding the capabilities and limitations of each imaging study. For instance, recognizing that ultrasound may miss a deep iliopsoas abscess would prompt a CT scan if suspicion remains high.

Despite its utility, radiologic assessment of SSIs has challenges. No imaging criterion is 100% specific. Early in the postoperative period, even an abscess may appear unformed, and conversely, normal healing fluid may temporarily masquerade as infection. Dense scarring and artifacts from blood products or mesh can obscure findings. Interpretation also requires integration of clinical data – a sterile seroma will not improve antibiotics, whereas an abscess will. Therefore, radiologic findings are often described in terms of probability (e.g. "findings are suspicious for abscess") and next steps (suggesting aspiration).

Emerging advancements continue to enhance radiology's role. **Advanced MRI techniques** reduce metal artifact and shorten scan times, improving postoperative assessment near implants. **Contrast-enhanced**

ultrasound (CEUS) is under investigation for better characterizing abdominal fluid collections and increasing detection of hyperemia. **Positron emission tomography (PET)** with novel tracers aims to improve detection of biofilm-related infection. **Artificial intelligence** and machine learning algorithms may soon assist in screening scans for abnormal collections or predicting which fluid collections will require drainage. On the intervention side, improvements in catheter design and navigational software may make even more complex abscesses safely drainable percutaneously. Image fusion techniques (combining US and CT images during a procedure) can improve accuracy of needle placement.

From a clinical utility perspective, radiologic involvement in SSI management offers measurable benefits: shorter hospital stays, fewer reoperations, and better outcomes. For example, a patient with a retained pelvic abscess may recover quicker when drained by IR than after a laparotomy. Preemptively, some surgeons place image-guided drains in large seromas before infection develops. Rapid on-site evaluation of aspirated fluid (e.g. presence of pus) can expedite treatment decisions.

The future of postoperative SSI management will likely involve protocols integrating imaging into standardized care pathways. For instance, any patient with fever or leukocytosis by day 5 after colorectal surgery might automatically undergo a CT scan to rule out abscess. Dynamic radiology reporting that rapidly communicates findings and recommendations to surgeons is also critical. In complex cases (e.g. multi-loculated abscesses), multidisciplinary meetings between surgery, radiology, and infectious disease can optimize treatment plans.

In conclusion, radiology's diagnostic and interventional tools are central to modern SSI management. By accurately identifying infections and enabling minimally invasive therapy, radiology improves patient care in the postoperative period. While clinical evaluation remains the foundation, imaging provides the crucial detail needed to confirm diagnoses and guide interventions. Ongoing technological progress – from high-resolution imaging to smarter intervention guidance – promises even greater contributions from radiology. Both radiologists and surgeons should stay apprised of these advances, as their collaboration directly impacts surgical outcomes and patient safety in the context of surgical site infections.

Results

&

Conclusion:

Ultrasound is effective for superficial wound assessment and guiding aspiration or drainage; CT provides high-resolution imaging for deep fluid collections and surgical complications; MRI is superior for detecting soft tissue and bone infections, particularly around prostheses and in spinal or pelvic regions. Interventional radiology enables percutaneous abscess drainage, tissue biopsies, and catheter placements, offering a minimally invasive alternative to reoperation. Accurate imaging interpretation helps distinguish normal postoperative changes from infection, improving diagnostic precision and patient outcomes.

Radiology, through both diagnostic imaging and interventional procedures, is indispensable in the modern management of SSIs. Its application enhances early detection, guides targeted therapies, and reduces the need for surgical re-intervention. Interdisciplinary collaboration between radiologists and surgeons remains critical for optimal postoperative care and infection control.

References:

- [1] Mangram AJ, Horan TC, Pearson ML, Silver LC, Jarvis WR. Guideline for prevention of surgical site infection, 1999. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 1999;20(4):250–278.
- [2] Owens CD, Stoessel K. Surgical site infections: epidemiology, microbiology, and prevention. *J Hosp Infect.* 2008;70 Suppl 2:3–10.

- [3] Petrosyan M, Guner YS, Emami CN, Ford HR. Current concepts in the management of surgical site infections. *J Surg Res.* 2011;171(2):309–318.
- [4] Leaper DJ, van Goor H, Reilly J, Petrosillo N, Geiss HK, Torres AJ, Berger A. Surgical site infection – a European perspective of incidence and economic burden. *Int Wound J.* 2004;1(4):247–273.
- [5] Brook OR, Dudiak KM, Qureshi MM, Khorasani R. Impact of CT-guided drainage in treatment of abdominopelvic abscesses: a 10-year experience. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2014;202(3):492–499.
- [6] Gabay M, Botros DB. Surgical site infections. *Am J Health Syst Pharm.* 2015;72(6):434–439.
- [7] Wakahara T, Shiraishi N, Tani T, Yasuda K, Kitano S. Early postoperative computed tomography scan: diagnosis of anastomotic leakage and intra-abdominal abscess after gastrointestinal surgery. *World J Surg.* 2006;30(5):982–986.
- [8] García Peña BM, Taylor GA, Fisher J, Mandell J, Esteban MJ, Burrows PE. Percutaneous abscess drainage in children: early recognition and treatment. *Radiology.* 1998;208(2):425–431.
- [9] Huang J, Zhao L, Chen M, et al. Diagnostic performance of contrast-enhanced ultrasound for postoperative complications: a meta-analysis. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* 2019;45(4):882–893.
- [10] Maturen KE, Nghiem HV, Marrero JA, Hussain HK. Imaging of postoperative complications. *Radiol Clin North Am.* 2008;46(3):487–500.
- [11] Malani PN, Rana MM, Banerjee M, Bradley SF. Risk factors for surgical site infection after cholecystectomy. *Am J Infect Control.* 2007;35(4):225–230.
- [12] Morshed S, Miclau T, Cohen M, Bhandari M. Infection after fracture fixation. *J Orthop Trauma.* 2008;22(10):770–774.
- [13] Langer M, Eustace S, Blankenbaker D, Morrison WB, Schweitzer ME. MR imaging of the postoperative knee: what the radiologist needs to know. *Radiographics.* 2004;24(4):1179–1192.
- [14] Schweitzer ME, Morrison WB. MR imaging of bone marrow edema pattern: transient osteoporosis, reversible marrow ischemia, and osteonecrosis. *Radiol Clin North Am.* 2001;39(2):403–431.
- [15] Winalski CS, Shortkroff S, Schneider E. Magnetic resonance imaging of joint infections and musculoskeletal soft tissue infections. *Radiol Clin North Am.* 2001;39(2):223–245.
- [16] Mueller PR, vanSonnenberg E, Ferrucci JT. Percutaneous drainage of 250 abdominal abscesses and fluid collections. Part I: Results, failures, and complications. *Radiology.* 1984;151(2):337–341.
- [17] Mahadevan V, Muthukumarasamy G, Gopinath B. Imaging of postoperative complications in the abdomen. *Clin Radiol.* 2009;64(10):1054–1065.
- [18] Tang SS, Apisarnthanarak A, Hsu LY. Surgical site infections: epidemiology, microbiology and prevention. *J Infect Dev Ctries.* 2014;8(4):403–409.
- [19] Zinsser D, Geiger EJ, McDonald RJ, et al. Accuracy of CT and MRI in the diagnosis of periprosthetic joint infection: a systematic review. *Skeletal Radiol.* 2022;51(4):595–610.
- [20] Love C, Marwin SE, Palestro CJ. Nuclear medicine and the infected joint replacement. *Semin Nucl Med.* 2009;39(1):66–78.
- [21] Chacko AG, Rajshekhar V. Imaging of postoperative spine infections: Role of MRI and CT. *Indian J Radiol Imaging.* 2009;19(4):254–256.
- [22] Duffy S, Van Dyk J, Davies RS, et al. Radiologic imaging of mediastinitis following cardiac surgery. *Radiographics.* 2000;20(2):555–564.
- [23] Wirth S, Treitl M, Reiser M. Diagnosis and treatment of vascular graft infections. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2010;40(5):570–579.
- [24] Patel R, Osmon DR, Hanssen AD. Prosthetic joint infection. *N Engl J Med.* 2005;353(14):1476–1477.
- [25] Keidar Z, Gurman-Balbir A, Gaitini D, Israel O. Imaging infection with (18)F-FDG PET/CT: Infected versus sterile fluid collections. *J Nucl Med.* 2008;49(4):684–690.